A multiparticle Coulomb system with bound state at threshold

M Hoffmann-Ostenhof † , T Hoffmann-Ostenhof and B Simon §

 $^{\div}$ Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Wien, Boltzmanngasse 5, 1090 Wien, Österreich

[‡] Institut für Theoretische Chemie und Strahlenchemie, Universität Wien, Währingerstrasse 17, 1090 Wien, Österreich

§ Departments of Mathematics and Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

Received 19 August 1982

Abstract. We consider the two-electron Hamiltonian $H = -\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - r_1^{-1} - r_2^{-1} + Ar_{12}^{-1}$ at precisely that critical value of A where the ground state energy has just hit the continuum. For that A, it is proven that H has a square integrable eigenfunction at the bottom of the continuum.

1. Introduction

The class of Hamiltonians

$$H(A) = -\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - r_1^{-1} - r_2^{-1} + Ar_{12}^{-1}$$
(1)

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^6, dx_1 dx_2), x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3, r_1 = |x_1|, r_2 = |x_2|, r_{12} = |x_1 - x_2|$, enters naturally in the study of the 1/Z expansion for two-electron ions. Since the work of Stillinger (1966) there has been particular interest in the critical value of A (call it A_0) (see e.g. Stillinger and Stillinger 1974) as follows. For any A > 0, H has continuous spectrum $[-\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$. At A = 0, H has a ground state at energy $E(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$, and as A is increased, the ground state energy E(A) increases until $A_0, E(A_0) = -\frac{1}{4}$. For simplicity, we have chosen here $-\Delta_1 - \Delta_2$ for the kinetic energy, which poses no problem, since by scaling 2H is unitarily equivalent to the usual Hamiltonian $-\Delta_1/2 - \Delta_2/2 - 1/r_1 - 1/r_2 + A/r_{12}$. It can be proven (see e.g. Thirring 1979, Leinfelder and Simon 1982) that $A_0 < \infty$, and since it is well known that the hydrogenic ion has a bound state, $A_0 > 1$. Numerically (Stillinger 1966), $A_0 \sim 1.1$.

Our main goal in this paper is to prove that at $A = A_0$ there is a ground state, $\psi > 0, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)$ with $H\psi = -\frac{1}{4}\psi$, i.e. there is a normalisable eigenfunction at threshold. Since short-range potentials in three dimensions do not produce normalisable ground states at thresholds (see e.g. Klaus and Simon 1980), this phenomenon is due to the long-range repulsion of r_{12}^{-1} . We mention that Klaus and Simon (1982) noted that for the three-dimensional model problem, $H = -\Delta + V + \alpha r^{-1}$ where V is spherically

Supported by 'Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung in Österreich', Project no. 4240. Research partially supported by USNSF under Grant MCS-81-20833.

symmetric, negative, short range with $\inf \sigma(-\Delta + V) < 0$, one has a threshold eigenvector at the critical coupling. For this reason, our result is to be expected.

In § 2, we prove that $H\psi = -\frac{1}{4}\psi$ has a bounded solution and in § 3 that the solution is L^2 . In § 4 we discuss some further aspects. Critical to our considerations are various subharmonic comparison arguments as found e.g. in (Simon 1975, Hoffmann-Ostenhof 1980) and other results on properties of eigenfunctions as reviewed by Simon (1982).

One common theme of the analysis in §§ 2 and 3 will be to take a bounded solution ψ of $H(A)\psi = E(A)\psi$ for some A with $\psi(r_1, r_2, r_{12}) = \psi(r_2, r_1, r_{12})$ and form

$$F(r_1) = \int \phi(r_2)\psi(x_1, x_2) \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \tag{2}$$

where ϕ is the ground state of $h = -\Delta_2 - r_2^{-1}$. We will need:

Proposition 1.1. Let

$$G(r_1) = A \int \phi(r_2) r_{12}^{-1} \psi(x_1, x_2) \, \mathrm{d}x_2.$$
(3)

Then under the above assumptions F is a C^2 function away from $r_1 = 0$ obeying

$$(-\Delta_1 - r_1^{-1} - E - \frac{1}{4})F = -G.$$
(4)

Proof. Since ψ is bounded by assumption and ϕ decays, both F and G are bounded. Indeed, since ψ is uniformly Lipschitz by estimates of Kato (1957), G is also Lipschitz. Thus, if we prove (4) in the distributional sense, standard elliptic estimates (see e.g. Gilbarg and Trudinger 1977, Simon 1982) imply that F is C^2 and (4) holds in the classical sense in $(0, \infty)$. In the distributional sense

$$\left[(-\Delta_1 - r_1^{-1} - E - \frac{1}{4})F\right](r_1) = \int \phi(r_2)\left[(H - E) - (h + \frac{1}{4}) - Ar_{12}\right]\psi(x_1, x_2) \, \mathrm{d}x_2 = -G \tag{5}$$

if we integrate by parts.

2. Existence of a bounded solution

In this section we will prove

Theorem 2.1. There exists a positive bounded function ψ , symmetric in x_1 , x_2 , which is a distributional solution of $H(A_0)\psi = -\frac{1}{4}\psi$.

We begin by noting that, by definition of A_0 , we can find $E_n \uparrow -\frac{1}{4}$ and $\psi_n > 0$, $\psi_n = \psi_n(r_1, r_2, r_{12}) = \psi_n(r_2, r_1, r_{12})$ so that $H(A_n)\psi_n = E_n\psi_n$ with $A_n = A_0 - 1/n$. We will normalise ψ_n by requiring

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^6}\psi_n(x)=1.$$

By the compactness of the unit ball in L^{∞} in the weak-* topology (see e.g. Reed and Simon 1972, theorem IV.21), we can by passing to a subsequence find ψ in L^{∞} so that

$$\int f(x)\psi_n(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \to \int f(x)\psi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{for } n \to \infty \qquad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^6).$$

 ψ is easily seen to be a distributional solution of $H(A_0)\psi = -\frac{1}{4}\psi$. The key fact is to show that ψ is not identically zero, i.e. that ψ_n does not run away to ∞ . Once we show that ψ is not identically zero, it is somewhere non-negative, and then by Harnack's inequality (see e.g. Aizenman and Simon 1982) it is everywhere positive.

Lemma 2.2. Let $F_n(r_1) = \int \phi(r_2)\psi_n(x_1, x_2) dx_2$ as in (2). Suppose that for some $R < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sup_{r_1 \le R} F_n(r_1) \ge \varepsilon$ for all large *n*; then ψ is not identically zero.

Proof. By Harnack's inequality there exists a constant C, so that for all n, $\psi_n(x_1, x_2) \ge C\psi_n(x'_1, x_2)$ if $|x_1 - x'_1| \le 1$. Thus, if $F_n(r'_1) \ge \varepsilon$, we have that $F_n(r_1) \ge C\varepsilon$ if $|x'_1 - x_1| \le 1$; so if $\sup_{r_1 \le R} F_n(r_1) \ge \varepsilon$, we have that

$$\int_{r_1 \leq R+1} F_n(r_1) \, \mathrm{d} r_1 \geq \frac{4}{3} \pi C \varepsilon.$$

Thus, since $\phi \in L^1$,

$$\int_{|x_1| \leqslant R+1} \phi(r_2) \psi(x_1, x_2) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \ge \frac{4}{3} \pi \varepsilon C, \quad \text{so} \quad \psi \neq 0.$$

Lemma 2.3. For some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sup_{r} F_n(r) \ge \varepsilon$ for all n.

Proof. Let K be the region where $r_1 > 8$, $r_2 > 8$. Then, on K, $-\Delta \psi_n = (E_n - A_n r_{12}^{-1} + r_1^{-1} + r_2^{-1})\psi_n \leq 0$ for n large. So ψ_n is subharmonic on K and thus, since $\psi_n \to 0$ at infinity (Simon 1982), we know that ψ_n takes its maximum value (which is 1) on the complement of K. Since ψ_n is symmetric in x_1, x_2 we can find $x_1^{(n)}$ and $x_2^{(n)}$ with $|x_2^{(n)}| \leq 8$, so that $\psi_n(x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)}) = 1$. By Harnack's inequality, for some ε_0 , $\psi_n(x_1^{(n)}, x_2) \geq \varepsilon_0$ if $r_2 \leq 1$. (Note that ε_0 does not depend on n.) Thus, with

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \int_{|x_2| \leq 1} \phi(r_2) \, \mathrm{d}x_2$$

we see that $F_n(r_1^{(n)}) \ge \varepsilon$.

The next lemma will be needed again in the next section. We remark that it only uses $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^6} \psi_n(x) \le 1$.

Lemma 2.4. For any $\delta > 0$, there is a function $H_{\delta}(r_1)$ obeying

$$H_{\delta}(r_1) \leq C \, \mathrm{e}^{-Dr_1} \tag{6}$$

for some C, D > 0 so that for all $r_1 \ge 1$

$$G_n(r_1) \ge (A_0 - \frac{1}{4})(1 - \delta)r_1^{-1}F_n(r_1) - H_\delta(r_1)$$
(7)

with $G_n = (\Delta_1 + r_1^{-1} + E_n - \frac{1}{4})F_n$. (Note. We emphasise that C, D are independent of n.)

Proof. Let $b = \delta (1-\delta)^{-1}$. Then, since $r_{12} \leq r_1 + r_2$,

$$G_{n}(r_{1}) \geq A_{n} \int_{|x_{2}| \leq br_{1}} (r_{1} + r_{2})^{-1} \phi \psi_{n} dx_{2} \geq A_{n} (1 + b)^{-1} r_{1}^{-1} \int_{|x_{2}| \leq br_{1}} \phi \psi_{n} dx_{2}$$
$$\geq A_{n} (1 - \delta) r_{1}^{-1} F_{n}(r_{1}) - H_{\delta}(r_{1})$$

1128 M Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T Hoffmann-Ostenhof and B Simon

where

$$H_{\delta}(r_1) = A_0 r_1^{-1} \int_{|x_2| \ge br_1} \phi(r_2) \, \mathrm{d}x_2$$

which is easily seen to obey (6) since ϕ decreases exponentially.

Proof of theorem 2.1. Due to lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 it suffices to show that for some R > 0, $\sup_r F_n(r) = \sup_{r \le R} F_n(r)$ for $n \ge N$, N large. Choose r_n such that $F_n(r_n) = \sup_r F_n(r)$ and pick δ and N so that $(A_0 - 1/N)(1 - \delta) \ge 1 + \delta$, which is possible since $A_0 > 1$. Suppose r_n becomes arbitrarily large for $n \to \infty$; then by proposition 1.1 and lemma 2.4

$$(\Delta F_n)(r_n) \ge G_n(r_n) - (1/r_n)F_n(r_n) \ge \delta F_n(r_n)/r_n - C e^{-Dr_n}$$

with C, D given in (6). This together with lemma 2.3 implies

$$(\Delta F_n)(r_n) \ge r_n^{-1} (\varepsilon \delta - Cr_n e^{-Dr_n}) > 0.$$

But this is impossible if F_n is maximised at r_n and thus $r_n \leq R$. Lemma 2.2 is therefore applicable and theorem 2.1 follows.

3. Existence of an L^2 solution

In this section we will prove

Theorem 3.1. The solution $\psi(x_1, x_2)$ of theorem 2.1 obeys

$$|\psi(x_1, x_2)| \le C_m (1 + r_1^2 + r_2^2)^{-m} \tag{8}$$

for any m > 0 and in particular, $\psi \in L^2$.

We want first to reduce the theorem to the study of the function F of (2).

Lemma 3.2. If

$$F(r_1) \le \tilde{C}_m (1+r_1^2)^{-m}$$
(9)

then (8) follows.

Proof. Since ϕ is bounded away from zero on $r_2 < 17$, we see that if (9) holds and $r_2 < 16$, then

$$\int_{|x'-x|\leq 1} \psi(x') \, \mathrm{d}^6 x' \leq C_m^{(1)} (1+r_1^2+r_2^2)^{-m}$$

and so by subsolution estimates (Simon 1982), if $r_2 < 16$ (or by symmetry if $r_1 < 16$), then (8) holds. In the region where $r_1 > 16$ and $r_2 > 16$ we have that

$$\Delta \psi \geq \frac{1}{8} \psi.$$

On the other hand, if $\psi_{-} = (r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 1)^{-m}$ and $\psi_{+} = (r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 1)^{m}$, then (with $r = (r_1^2 + r_2^2)^{1/2}$)

$$\Delta \psi_{-} = (r^{2} + 1)^{-1} \psi_{-} [4m(m+1)r^{2}(r+1)^{-1} - 12m],$$

$$\Delta \psi_{+} = (r^{2} + 1)^{-1} \psi_{+} [4m(m-1)r^{2}(r+1)^{-1} + 12m].$$

Thus, in the region $r_1 \ge 16$, $r_2 \ge 16$, $r \ge R_0$,

$$\Delta(c\psi_{-} + \varepsilon\psi_{+}) \leq \frac{1}{8}(c\psi_{-} + \varepsilon\psi_{+})$$

for all $c, \varepsilon > 0$ and with suitable R_0 (depending on *m*). Let R_1 be given with $R_1 > R_0$. By the foregoing considerations there is some c > 0 such that $\psi \leq c\psi_-$ for $r_1 = 16$ or $r_2 = 16$ or $r = R_0$. Further, since ψ is bounded $\psi \leq \varepsilon \psi_+$ for $r = R_1$ with $\varepsilon \geq \sup \psi/(R_1^2 + 1)^m$. Hence $\psi \leq c\psi_- + \varepsilon\psi_+$ on the boundary of the region $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^6 | r_1 \geq 16, r_2 \geq 16, R_0 \leq r \leq R_1\}$. Using a standard comparison argument for differential inequalities (see e.g. Simon 1975), we get $\psi \leq c\psi_- + \varepsilon\psi_+$ in Ω . As can be seen from above, c is independent of R_1 and $\varepsilon \to 0$ as $R_1 \to \infty$. Hence we recover (8) for $r \geq R_0$. If $r < R_0$, (8) is trivial.

To prove theorem 3.1 we shall further need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let $v(r) \ge 0$ obey

$$-v''+m(m+1)r^{-2}v \le 0$$

on $[R, \infty)$, R > 0. Then either v grows at least as fast as r^{m+1} at infinity or decreases at least as fast as r^{-m} .

Proof. If $v'v^{-1} \le -mr^{-1}$ on $[R, \infty)$, then obviously for some C > 0, $v \le Cr^{-m}$, so it suffices to show that if $v'(r_0) > -mr_0^{-1}v(r_0)$ for some $r_0 > R$, then v grows at least like r^{m+1} . The functions

$$u(c,r) = r^{m+1} + cr^{-m}$$

with $c \in [-r_0^{2m+1}, \infty)$ are positive on $[r_0, \infty)$ and obey $-u'' + m(m+1)r^{-2}u = 0$. As c runs through that interval, $u'(r_0)/u(r_0)$ runs from $+\infty$ to $-mr_0^{-1}$, so we can find such a u with $u'(r_0)/u(r_0) \leq v'(r_0)/v(r_0)$ and thus a multiple of u (call it \tilde{u}) with $v'(r_0) > \tilde{u}'(r_0)$ and $\tilde{u}(r_0) = v(r_0)$. We claim that $v(r) > \tilde{u}(r)$ for all $r > r_0$, proving the desired result. For if r_1 is the smallest $r > r_0$ where $v(r) = \tilde{u}(r)$, then

$$0 \ge \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \left[\tilde{u}(-v'' + m(m+1)r^{-2}v) - v(-\tilde{u}'' + m(m+1)r^{-2}\tilde{u}) \right] dr$$

= $\frac{r_1}{r_0} \left[v\tilde{u}' - \tilde{u}v' \right] = v(r_1)(\tilde{u}'(r_1) - v'(r_1)) + v(r_0)(-\tilde{u}'(r_0) + v'(r_0)) > 0$

which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.4. Let $g(r) = Cr e^{-Dr}$ and let $v(r) \ge 0$ obey $-v'' + m(m+1)r^{-2}v \le g(r)$

on $[R_m, \infty)$, $R_m > 0$. Then either v grows at least as fast as r^{m+1} at infinity or decays at least as fast as r^{-m} .

Proof. Define for $r \ge R_m$

$$\eta(r) = \frac{1}{2m+1} \left(r^{m+1} \int_{r}^{\infty} x^{-m} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + r^{-m} \int_{R_{m}}^{r} x^{m+1} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right).$$

Then $-\eta'' + m(m+1)r^{-2}\eta = g$ and since $\int_{R_m}^{\infty} x^{m+1} g(x) dx < \infty$, $\eta(r) \le dr^{-m}$ for some $0 < d < \infty$. Let $\tilde{v} = v + dr^{-m} - \eta$; then $\tilde{v} \ge 0$ and obeys $-\tilde{v}'' + m(m+1)\tilde{v} \le 0$ on $[R_m, \infty)$.

But by the foregoing lemma \tilde{v} grows at least like r^{m+1} or decays at least as fast as r^{-m} , and according to the definition of \tilde{v} , v has the same properties.

Proof of theorem 3.1. Due to lemma 3.2 it suffices to verify inequality (9) by proposition 1.1 and lemma 2.4 we know that for r > 1

$$\Delta F - \delta r^{-1} F \ge -C e^{-Dr}$$

and so, for $r \ge R_m$, where $R_m > m(m+1)/\delta$,

$$\Delta F - m(m+1)r^{-2}F \ge -C e^{-Dr}.$$

The fact that F is spherically symmetric and bounded (since ψ is bounded) together with lemma 3.4 implies that F decays at least as fast as r^{-m} , finishing the proof of the theorem.

4. Remarks

(1) As explained in (Simon 1977), the fact that the ground state is L^2 at A_0 immediately implies that for $A \leq A_0$, $E(A) \leq E(A_0) + d(A - A_0)$ with d > 0 so that E(A) cannot turn into an antibound state at A_0 . We agree with Reinhardt's analysis (Reinhardt 1977) that it probably turns into a resonance pair.

(2) Following the 'Schrödinger inequality' methods (Hoffmann-Ostenhof and Hoffmann-Ostenhof 1977, Ahlrichs *et al* 1981), it can be shown that at A_0 , the one-particle density ρ obeys

$$\sqrt{\rho(r)} \stackrel{\leq}{\geq} C_{\pm}(\delta)(r+1)^{-\frac{3}{4}\pm\delta} \exp\{-[4(A_0-1)r]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

(3) The Coulomb nature of the potential was unimportant. What was critical was that at the critical coupling the electron about to be unbound sees a potential which is repulsive at infinity with a slower decay than r^{-2} .

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank E Lieb for the hospitality of the Princeton University where this collaboration began. Two of us (M and TH-O) want to thank J Morgan for drawing our attention to this problem and for a useful discussion.

References

Leinfelder H and Simon B 1982 Recent Results on Schrödinger Operators (Paris: Dunod) in preparation

- Reed M and Simon B 1972 Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I, Functional Analysis (New York: Academic)
- Reinhardt W 1977 Phys. Rev. A 15 832
- Simon B 1975 Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 208 317
- ------ 1982 Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 7 447
- Stillinger F H 1966 J. Chem. Phys. 45 3623
- Stillinger F H and Stillinger D K 1974 Phys. Rev. A 10 1109
- Thirring W 1979 Lehrbuch der Mathematischen Physik III (Wien: Springer)