

Constructing Solutions of the $mKdV$ -Equation

F. GESZTESY* AND B. SIMON†

*Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*

Communicated by L. Gross

Received August 23, 1988

Using commutation methods (i.e., $N=1$ supersymmetry) underlying Miura's transformation, an explicit construction of solutions of the modified Korteweg–de Vries equation, given a solution of the (ordinary) Korteweg–de Vries equation, is provided. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

Under the hypothesis

$$V, \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ real-valued, } \partial_x^n V, \partial_x^n \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad n=0, 1 \quad (\text{H.1})$$

we are interested in constructing solutions ϕ of the modified Korteweg–de Vries ($mKdV$ -) equation

$$mKdV(\phi) := \phi_t - 6\phi^2\phi_x + \phi_{xxx} = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (1)$$

given a solution V of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV -) equation

$$KdV(V) := V_t - 6VV_x + V_{xxx} = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2)$$

A key step in our analysis is Miura's transformation [14]

$$V_j(t, x) = \phi(t, x)^2 + (-1)^j \phi_x(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, j=1, 2 \quad (3)$$

and his identity

$$KdV(V_j) = [2\phi + (-1)^j \partial_x] mKdV(\phi), \quad j=1, 2. \quad (4)$$

* Partially supported by USNSF under Grant DMS-8416049. Max Kade Foundation Fellow. On leave of absence from the Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Graz, A-8010 Graz, Austria. Present address: Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211.

† Partially supported by USNSF under Grant DMS-8416049.

As is obvious from (4), whenever ϕ satisfies the $mKdV$ -equation (1), then $V_j = \phi^2 + (-1)^j \phi_x$, $j=1, 2$, will satisfy the KdV -equation (2). Our main objective in this paper is to reverse this process, i.e., given a solution V_1 of (2), construct ϕ and V_2 that satisfy (1), (2), and (3), respectively. As will turn out later, Eq. (3), being a Riccati-type equation for ϕ given V_j , will have nonsingular solutions ϕ only if the associated Schrödinger operators $H_j(t) = -\partial_x^2 + V_j(t, \cdot)$, $j=1, 2$, are nonnegative. We first recall [12, 17]

THEOREM 1. (i) Assume V satisfies (H.1), $V_j(t, \cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the KdV -equation (2). Then the Schrödinger operator $H(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$H(t) = -\partial_x^2 + V(t, \cdot), \quad \mathcal{D}(H(t)) = H^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5)$$

is unitarily equivalent to $H(0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$; i.e., there exists a family of unitary operators $U(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $U(0) = 1$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t.

$$U(t)^{-1} H(t) U(t) = H(0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

(ii) Assume ϕ satisfies (H.1), $\phi_{xx} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\phi_t(t, \cdot), \phi_{tx}(t, \cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the $mKdV$ -equation (1). Then the Dirac operator $Q_m(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$

$$Q_m(t) = \begin{bmatrix} m & A(t)^* \\ A(t) & -m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D}(Q_m(t)) = H^1(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, \quad (m, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (7)$$

$$A(t) = \partial_x + \phi(t, \cdot), \quad \mathcal{D}(A(t)) = H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (8)$$

is unitarily equivalent to $Q_m(0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$; i.e., there exists a family of unitary operators $W_m(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $W_m(0) = 1$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ s.t.

$$W_m(t)^{-1} Q_m(t) W_m(t) = Q_m(0), \quad (m, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (9)$$

Proof. It is well known, that the Lax pair

$$L(t) = H(t), \quad (10)$$

$$B_V(t) = -4\partial_x^3 + 6V(t, \cdot)\partial_x + 3V_x(t, \cdot), \quad \mathcal{D}(B_V(t)) = H^3(\mathbb{R}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

together with

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(t) = [B_V(t), L(t)], \quad \frac{d}{dt} U(t) = B_V(t)U(t), \quad U(0) = 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (11)$$

proves (6) (see, e.g., [7, 12, 13]). In the Dirac case, $B_V(t)$ in (10) goes into [17]

$$B_{(\phi^2 - \phi_x)}(t) \oplus B_{(\phi^2 + \phi_x)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (12)$$

and $L(t)$ into $Q_m(t)$. ■

Remark 2. The fact that

$$Q_m(t)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} H_1(t) + m^2 & 0 \\ 0 & H_2(t) + m^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on } H^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(t) &= A(t)^* A(t) = -\partial_x^2 + V_1(t, \cdot) & \text{on } H^2(\mathbb{R}), t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ H_2(t) &= A(t) A(t)^* = -\partial_x^2 + V_2(t, \cdot) & \text{on } H^2(\mathbb{R}), t \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and $V_j, j = 1, 2$, are defined in (3), together with Theorem 1(ii) explains why commutation (or $N = 1$ supersymmetry) underlies Miura's transformation (3). This has first been exploited in [1, 6]. Commutation for one-dimensional systems; i.e., the factorization into $A(t), A(t)^*$ in (14), has a long history (see, e.g., [6, 9] and the references therein) and has recently become popular again in connection with supersymmetric quantum mechanics (cf., e.g., [2-5, 8, 11, 18] and the references therein). Equation 13 also explains the direct sum in (12).

In order to start with our analysis we assume from now on that V and $H(t)$ defined in (5) satisfy the hypothesis

- (i) V satisfies (H.1) and the KdV -equation (2).
 - (ii) $H(t) \geq 0$ for some (and hence for all) $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (H.2)

(H.2) (ii) is motivated by (3), (13), and (14) since obviously $H_j(t) \geq 0, j = 1, 2, t \in \mathbb{R}$. As discussed in [9], one can deal with semibounded Schrödinger operators $H(t) \geq c, c \in \mathbb{R}$, by changing (3) into $V_j = \phi^2 + (-1)^j \phi_x - c, j = 1, 2$, and using an additional Galilei transformation $(t, x) \rightarrow (t, x - 6ct), c \in \mathbb{R}$ in $V(t, x)$.

By Theorem 1(i) or Lemma 3 below

$$\inf[\sigma(H(t))] = \inf[\sigma(H(0))] \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (15)$$

A prominent role in our construction of solutions ϕ of (1) is played by distributional, zero-energy solutions $\psi(t)$ of $H(t)$

$$(H(t)\psi(t))(x) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (16)$$

(i.e., $\psi(t, \cdot), \psi_x(t, \cdot) \in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), t \in \mathbb{R}$). We start with

LEMMA 3. *Suppose (H.2) and let $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued, distributional solution of $H(0)\psi_0 = 0$. Then $H(t)\psi(t) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, has a unique, real-valued, distributional solution $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ that satisfies*

$$\psi_t(t, x) = -4\psi_{xxx}(t, x) + 6V(t, x)\psi_x(t, x) + 3V_x(t, x)\psi(t, x), \quad (17a)$$

or equivalently, by using $\psi_{xx} = V\psi$,

$$\psi_t(t, x) = 2V(t, x)\psi_x(t, x) - V_x(t, x)\psi(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (17b)$$

with

$$\psi(0, x) = \psi_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (17c)$$

In particular, if $\psi(t), \tilde{\psi}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, are two such zero-energy solutions of $H(t)$, then their Wronskian

$$W(\psi(t), \tilde{\psi}(t)) = W(\psi_0, \tilde{\psi}_0) \quad (18)$$

is independent of $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Consider the following Volterra-type integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, x) &= c(t) + d(t)x + \int_0^x dx'(x - x')V(t, x')\psi(t, x'), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ c, d &\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \text{ real-valued.} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Iterating (19) one infers $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $H(t)\psi(t) = 0$, and

$$\psi_{txx} - V\psi_t = V_t\psi. \quad (20)$$

Similarly, Ψ defined by

$$\Psi(t, x) := 2V(t, x)\psi_x(t, x) - V_x(t, x)\psi(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (21)$$

also satisfies

$$\Psi_{xx} - V\Psi = V_t\psi. \quad (22)$$

Thus $H(t)[\Psi - \psi_t] = 0$. From

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t(t, 0) &= \dot{c}(t), \quad \psi_{tx}(t, 0) = \dot{d}(t), \\ \Psi(t, 0) &= 2V(t, 0)d(t) - V_x(t, 0)c(t), \\ \Psi_x(t, 0) &= V_x(t, 0)d(t) + [2V(t, 0)^2 - V_{xx}(t, 0)]c(t); \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

we finally infer $\psi_t = \Psi$ (and hence (17)) iff

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{c}(t) \\ \dot{d}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -V_x(t, 0) & 2V(t, 0) \\ 2V(t, 0)^2 - V_{xx}(t, 0) & V_x(t, 0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c(t) \\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (24)$$

Equation (18) finally follows by a simple calculation using (17), $H(t)\psi(t) = 0$, $H(t)\tilde{\psi}(t) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and (2). ■

Next we state

LEMMA 4. Assume V satisfies (H.1) and suppose there exists a $0 < \psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $H(t)\psi(t) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\phi(t, x) := -\psi_x(t, x)/\psi(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (25)$$

Then ϕ and $\tilde{V} := \phi^2 + \phi_x$ satisfy (H.1).

Proof. As shown in Corollary XI.6.5 of [10], $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ implies

$$\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (26)$$

Since $V = \phi^2 - \phi_x$, we infer $\phi_x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The rest is trivial. ■

Concerning positive, zero-energy solutions of $H(t)$ we recall

LEMMA 5 [13]. Suppose (H.2) and assume $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies $H(t)\psi(t) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and (17). If $\psi(t, x(t)) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then x solves

$$\dot{x} = -2V(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (27)$$

Conversely, if $\psi(t_0, x_0) = 0$ for some $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, solve

$$\dot{x} = -2V(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (28)$$

to get $\psi(t, x(t)) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, if

$$\psi(0, x) > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{then} \quad \psi(t, x) > 0, (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (29)$$

Proof. Equation (27) is obvious from $d\psi = 0$. On the other hand, if $x(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is the unique solution of (28), then

$$d\psi = \psi_t dt + \psi_x dx = -V_x \psi dt \quad (30)$$

yields $\psi(t, x(t)) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\psi(t_0, x_0) = 0$. Finally, if $\psi(0, x) > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, assume that $\psi(t_0, x_0) = 0$ for some $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, propagating ψ from t_0 to 0 in time, $\psi(0, x(0))$ would be zero as discussed above. This contradiction proves the last statement. ■

Before we state our main theorem we would like to mention a classification of Schrödinger operators originally introduced in [16] (see also [15]). In the special case of one-dimensional, nonnegative Schrödinger operators this classification reads as follows: $H(0) \geq 0$ is called subcritical iff there are two linearly independent, positive, distributional zero-energy solutions $\psi_{0,\pm}$ of $H(0)$, i.e.,

$$\psi_{0,\pm}(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, H(0)\psi_{0,\pm} = 0, W(\psi_{0,-}, \psi_{0,+}) \neq 0. \quad (31)$$

$H(0)$ is called critical iff $H(0) \geq 0$ and there are not two linearly independent, positive zero-energy solutions of $H(0)$; i.e., $H(0)$ is critical iff $H(0)$ has a unique (up to multiples of constants) positive, distributional zero-energy solution.

Combining this classification and Lemma 5 we get

LEMMA 6. *Suppose (H.2). Then $H(t)$ is subcritical (resp. critical) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ iff $H(0)$ is. Now we are ready to state our main result.*

THEOREM 7. *Assume V satisfies (H.1) and the KdV-equation (2). Suppose $H(0) \geq 0$ and assume $0 < \psi_{\pm} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to satisfy $H(t)\psi_{\pm}(t) = 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and (17). Define*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\sigma}(t, x) &:= 2^{-1}[1 - \sigma(t)]\psi_{-}(t, x) + 2^{-1}[1 + \sigma(t)]\psi_{+}(t, x), \\ \phi_{\sigma}(t, x) &:= -\psi_{\sigma,x}(t, x)/\psi_{\sigma}(t, x), \\ \tilde{V}_{\sigma}(t, x) &:= \phi_{\sigma}^2(t, x) + \phi_{\sigma,x}(t, x); \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2,\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then ϕ_{σ} and \tilde{V}_{σ} satisfy (H.1). In addition,

$$mKdV(\phi_{\sigma}) = 0, \quad KdV(\tilde{V}_{\sigma}) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \dot{\sigma} = 0 \text{ or } W(\psi_{-}, \psi_{+}) = 0.\tag{33}$$

Proof Let $\phi := -\psi_x/\psi$, $0 < \psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $H(t)\psi(t) = 0$. Then a straightforward calculation yields the identity

$$mKdV(\phi) = \psi^{-2}\{\psi_t\psi_x - \psi\psi_{xt} - 6V\psi_x^2 + 3\psi_{xx}^2 + 4\psi_x\psi_{xxx} - \psi\psi_{xxxx}\}.\tag{34}$$

Taking $\psi = \psi_{\sigma}$ in (34) and taking into account (17) for ψ_{\pm} , finally gives

$$mKdV(\phi_{\sigma}) = -\psi_{\sigma}^{-2}\dot{\sigma}W(\psi_{-}, \psi_{+})/2.\tag{35}$$

In particular, if $mKdV(\phi_{\sigma}) = 0$ then $KdV(\tilde{V}_{\sigma}) = 0$ since V and \tilde{V}_{σ} are connected via commutation and hence (4) applies with $V_1 = V$, $V_2 = \tilde{V}_{\sigma}$, $\phi = \phi_{\sigma}$. ■

Remark 8. If $H(0)$ is subcritical, Theorem 7 yields a one-parameter family of solutions ϕ_{σ} , $\sigma \in [-1, 1]$ of the $mKdV$ -equation (1) (choose ψ_{\pm} s.t. $W(\psi_{-}, \psi_{+}) \neq 0$). If $H(0)$ is critical, we necessarily have $W(\psi_{-}, \psi_{+}) = 0$ and hence (32) yields a unique solution of (1) (since ϕ_{σ} in (32) is then actually independent of σ). Moreover, since $\phi_{\sigma} = -\psi_{\sigma,x}/\psi_{\sigma}$, $\sigma \in [-1, 1]$ is the general solution of the Riccati equation $\phi_x - \phi^2 = -V$ on \mathbb{R} , the explicit construction (32) yields all smooth solutions ϕ of (1) related to V via $V = \phi^2 - \phi_x$.

Remark 9. Given ϕ_σ in (32), $H(t)$ is recovered from ϕ_σ via

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= -\partial_x^2 + V(t, \cdot) = A_\sigma(t)^* A_\sigma(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ A_\sigma(t) &= \partial_x + \phi_\sigma(t, \cdot), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ V(t, x) &= \phi_\sigma(t, x)^2 - \phi_{\sigma, x}(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Similarly, $\tilde{H}_\sigma(t) := -\partial_x^2 + \tilde{V}_\sigma(t, \cdot)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is recovered from ϕ_σ via

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\sigma(t) &= -\partial_x^2 + \tilde{V}_\sigma(t, \cdot) = A_\sigma(t) A_\sigma(t)^*, & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \tilde{V}_\sigma(t, x) &= \phi_\sigma(t, x)^2 + \phi_{\sigma, x}(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

illustrating again the role that commutation plays in our approach.

Remark 10. As shown in [9], Theorem 7 actually applies to the entire hierarchy of higher-order (m) KdV -equations.

Theorem 7 has been applied to soliton-like solutions in [9] in full details. Special cases of finite-zone, periodic solutions and solitons relative to such a periodic background together with an extension of the above framework to certain singular solutions of (1) resp. (2) are also contained in [9]. A complete treatment of these cases is in preparation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are particularly indebted to D. Gurarie and W. Schweiger for discussions. F. Gesztesy is indebted to D. Wales for the hospitality at Caltech. He also gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Max Kade Foundation and from USNSF under Grant DMS-08416049.

Note added in proof. The “if part” in Theorem 7 follows, e.g., from prolongation methods developed in H. D. Wahlquist and F. B. Estabrook, Prolongation structures of nonlinear evolution equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975), 1–7. A different approach to Theorem 7, assuming rapidly decreasing solutions of the KdV -eq., can be found in Section 38 of R. Beals, P. Deift, C. Tomei, Direct and inverse scattering on the line, in “Mathematical Surveys and Monographs,” Vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.

REFERENCES

1. M. ADLER AND J. MOSER, On a class of polynomials connected with the Korteweg–de Vries equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **61** (1978), 1–30.
2. A. ARAI, Supersymmetry and singular perturbations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **60** (1985), 378–393.
3. A. ARAI, Some remarks on scattering theory in supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* **28** (1987), 472–476.

4. D. BOLLÉ, F. GESZTESY, H. GROSSE, W. SCHWEIGER, AND B. SIMON, Witten index, axial anomaly, and Krein's spectral shift function in supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* **28** (1987), 1512–1525.
5. N. V. BORISOV, W. MÜLLER, AND R. SCHRADER, Relative index theorems and supersymmetric scattering theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **114** (1988), 475–513.
6. P. A. DEIFT, Applications of a commutation formula, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978), 267–310.
7. W. EKHAUS AND A. VAN HARTEN, The inverse scattering transformation and the theory of solitons, in “Math. Studies,” Vol. 50, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
8. F. GESZTESY, Scattering theory for one-dimensional systems with nontrivial spatial asymptotics, in “Schrödinger Operators, Aarhus 1985” (E. Balslev, Ed.), pp. 93–122, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1218, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.