

L^p NORMS OF THE BOREL TRANSFORM AND THE DECOMPOSITION OF MEASURES

B. SIMON

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We relate the decomposition over $[a, b]$ of a measure $d\mu$ (on \mathbb{R}) into absolutely continuous, pure point, and singular continuous pieces to the behavior of integrals $\int_a^b (\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon))^p dx$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. Here F is the Borel transform of $d\mu$, that is, $F(z) = \int (x - z)^{-1} d\mu(x)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given any positive measure μ on \mathbb{R} with

$$(1.1) \quad \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{1 + |x|} < \infty,$$

one can define its Borel transform by

$$(1.2) \quad F(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{x - z}.$$

We have two goals in this note. One is to discuss the relation of the decomposition of μ into components ($d\mu = d\mu_{ac} + d\mu_{pp} + d\mu_{sc}$ with $d\mu_{ac}(x) = g(x) dx$, $d\mu_{pp}$ a pure point measure, and $d\mu_{sc}$ a singular continuous measure) to integrals of powers of $\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)$. This is straightforward, and global results (e.g., involving $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)|^2 dx$) are well known to harmonic analysts (see, e.g., Koosis [5, pg. 157])—but there seems to be a point in writing down elementary proofs of the local results (e.g., involving $\int_a^b |\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)|^2 dx$).

Secondly, by proper use of these theorems, we can simplify the proofs in [7] that certain sets of operators are G_δ 's in certain metric spaces.

In §2, we will see that $\int_a^b |\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)|^p dx$ with $p > 1$ is sensitive to singular parts of $d\mu$ and can be used to prove they are absent. In §3, we see the opposite

Received by the editors March 25, 1994 and, in revised form, May 23, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 28B99.

This material is based upon work supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. DMS-9101715.

results when $p < 1$ and the singular parts are irrelevant, so that integrals can be used for a test of whether $\mu_{ac} = 0$. Finally, in §4, we turn to the aforementioned results on G_δ sets of operators.

Since we only discuss $\text{Im } F(z)$ and

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Im } F(x + i\epsilon) = \epsilon \int \frac{d\mu(y)}{(x - y)^2 + \epsilon^2},$$

our results actually hold if (1.1) is replaced by

$$(1.4) \quad \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{(1 + |x|)^2} < \infty.$$

2. p -NORMS FOR $p > 1$

Theorem 2.1. Fix $p > 1$. Suppose that

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{0 < \epsilon < 1} \int_a^b |\text{Im } F(x + i\epsilon)|^p dx < \infty.$$

Then $d\mu$ is purely absolutely continuous on (a, b) , $\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dx} \in L^p(a, b)$; and for any $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$, $\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } F(x + i\epsilon)$ converges to $\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dx}$ in L^p . Conversely, if $[a, b] \subset (e, f)$ with $d\mu$ purely absolutely continuous on (e, f) , and if $\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dx} \in L^p(e, f)$, then (2.1) holds.

Remarks. 1. This criterion with $p = 2$ is used by Klein [4], who has a different proof.

2. The $p = 2$ results can be viewed as following from Kato's theory of smooth perturbations [2,6].

3. It is easy to construct measures supported on $\mathbb{R} \setminus (a, b)$ so that (2.1) fails or so that the L^p norm oscillates, for example, suitable point measures $\sum \alpha_n \delta_{x_n}$ with $x_n \uparrow a$. For this reason, we are forced to shrink/expand (a, b) to $(c, d)/(e, f)$.

Proof. Let $d\mu_\epsilon(x) = \pi^{-1} \text{Im } F(x + i\epsilon) dx$. Then [8] $d\mu_\epsilon \rightarrow d\mu$ weakly, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, that is, $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int f(x) d\mu_\epsilon(x) = \int f(x) d\mu(x)$ for f a continuous function of compact support. Let q be the dual index to p and f a continuous function supported in (a, b) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f d\mu \right| &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left| \int f d\mu_\epsilon \right| \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[\int_a^b |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} \left[\int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } F(x + i\epsilon) \right)^p dx \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f \mapsto \int f d\mu$ is a bounded functional on L^q , and thus $\chi_{(a,b)} d\mu = g dx$ for some $g \in L^p(a, b)$.

We claim that when $\chi_{(a,b)} d\mu = g dx$ with $g \in L^p(a, b)$, then for any $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$, $\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } F(x + i\epsilon) \rightarrow g$ in $L^p(c, d)$ —this implies the remaining parts of the theorem.

To prove the claim, write $F = F_1 + F_2$ where F_1 comes from $d\mu_1 \equiv \chi_{(a,b)} d\mu$ and $d\mu_2 = (1 - \chi_{(a,b)}) d\mu$. $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_1$ is a convolution of $g dx$ with an approximate delta function. So, by a standard argument, $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_1 \rightarrow g$ in L^p . On the other hand, since $\operatorname{dist}([c, d], \mathbb{R} \setminus (a, b)) > 0$, one easily obtains the bound

$$|\operatorname{Im} F_2(x + i\epsilon)| \leq C\epsilon \quad \text{for } x \in [c, d].$$

So $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_2 \rightarrow 0$ in L^p . \square

The following is a local version of Wiener's theorem.

Theorem 2.2.

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon \int_a^b |\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)|^2 dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu(\{a\})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu(\{b\})^2 + \sum_{x \in (a,b)} \mu(\{x\})^2 \right).$$

Proof. Using (1.3), we see that

$$\epsilon \int_a^b (\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon))^2 dx = \int \int g_\epsilon(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y),$$

where

$$g_\epsilon(x, y) = \int_a^b \frac{\epsilon^3 dw}{((w-x)^2 + \epsilon^2)((w-y)^2 + \epsilon^2)}.$$

It is easy to see that for $0 < \epsilon < 1$:

- (i) $g_\epsilon(x, y) \leq \pi \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(x, [a, b])^2 + 1}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} g_\epsilon(x, y) = 0$ if $x \neq y$ or $x \notin [a, b]$,
- (iii) $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} g_\epsilon(x, y) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ if $x = y \in (a, b)$,
- (iv) $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} g_\epsilon(x, y) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ if $x = y$ is a or b .

Thus, the desired result follows from dominated convergence. \square

Remarks. 1. It is not hard to extend this to $\epsilon^{p-1} \int_a^b |\operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)|^p dx$ for any $p > 1$. The limit has $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+x^2)^{-p/2} dx$ in place of π (which can be evaluated exactly in terms of gamma functions) and $\mu(\{x\})^p$ in place of $\mu(\{x\})^2$; for the above proof extends to p an even integer. Interpolation then shows that the continuous part of μ makes no contribution to the limit, and a simple argument restricts the result to a finite sum of point measure where it is easy. (Note: For $1 < p < 2$, one interpolates between boundedness for $p = 1$ and the zero limit if $p = 2$ and μ is continuous.)

2. On the other hand, $\sup_{0 < \epsilon < 1} \epsilon^\alpha \int_a^b \operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon)^2 dx$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ says something about how singular the singular part of $d\mu$ can be. If the sup is finite, then $\mu(A) = 0$ for any subset A of $[a, b]$ with Hausdorff dimension $d < 1 - \alpha$. This will be proven in [1].

Corollary 2.3. μ has no pure points in $[a, b]$ if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_a^b (\operatorname{Im} F(x + ik^{-1}))^2 dx = 0.$$

(Of course the limit exists, but we'll need this form in §4.)

3. p -NORMS FOR $p < 1$

Theorem 3.1. Fix $p < 1$. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_a^b \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon) \right|^p dx = \int_a^b \left(\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dx} \right)^p dx.$$

First Proof. Write $d\mu$ as three pieces: $d\mu_1 = (1 - \chi_{[a-1, b+1]})d\mu$, $d\mu_2 = g dx$ with $g \in L^1(a - 1, b + 1)$, and $d\mu_3$ singular and finite and concentrated on $[a - 1, b + 1]$ and correspondingly, $F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$. It is easy to see that $|\operatorname{Im} F_1(x + i\epsilon)| \leq C\epsilon$ on $[a, b]$, so its contribution to the limit of the integral is 0. Since $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_2(x + i\epsilon)$ is a convolution of g with an approximate delta function, $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_2 \rightarrow g$ in L^1 , and so by Holder's inequality,

$$\int_a^b \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_2(x + i\epsilon) \right|^p dx \rightarrow \int_a^b g(x)^p dx \quad \text{for any } p < 1.$$

It thus suffices to prove that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_a^b \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3(x + i\epsilon) \right|^p dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Let S be a set with $\mu_3(\mathbb{R} \setminus S) = 0$ and $|S| = 0$. Given δ , by regularity of measures, find $C \subset S \subset \mathcal{O}$ with C compact and $\mathcal{O} \subset (a - 2, b + 1)$ open, so $\mu(S \setminus C) < \delta$ and $|\mathcal{O} \setminus S| < \delta$, so $\mu(\mathbb{R} \setminus C) < \delta$ and $|\mathcal{O}| < \delta$. Let h be a continuous function which is 1 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ and 0 on C .

By Holder's inequality (with index $\frac{1}{p}$),

$$(3.2) \quad \int_A \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right)^p dx \leq |A|^{1-p} \left[\int_A \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right) \right]^p$$

for any set A . Noting that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right) dx = \mu_3(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$, we see that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right)^p dx \leq \mu_3(\mathbb{R})^p \delta^{1-p}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a, b] \setminus \mathcal{O}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right)^p dx &\leq |b - a|^{1-p} \left[\int_{[a, b] \setminus \mathcal{O}} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right) dx \right]^p \\ &\leq |b - a|^{1-p} \left[\int_a^b h(x) \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3 \right)(x + i\epsilon) dx \right]^p. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral converges to $\int h(x) d\mu_3(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus C} d\mu_3(x) = \mu_3(\mathbb{R} \setminus C) = \delta$.

Thus

$$\overline{\lim}_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_a^b \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_3(x + i\epsilon)^p dx \leq \mu_3(\mathbb{R})^p \delta^{1-p} + |b - a|^{1-p} \delta^p.$$

Since δ is arbitrary, the $\overline{\lim}$ is a zero and so the limit is zero. \square

Second Proof (suggested to me by T. Wolff). As in the first proof, by writing μ as a sum of a finite measure and a measure obeying (1.1) but supported away from $[a, b]$, we can reduce the result to the case where μ is finite. Let $M_\mu(x)$ be the maximal function of μ :

$$M_\mu(x) = \sup_{t > 0} (2t)^{-1} \mu(x - t, x + t).$$

By the standard Hardy-Littlewood argument (see, e.g., Katznelson [3]),

$$|\{x \mid M_\mu(x) > t\}| \leq C\mu(\mathbb{R})/t,$$

which in particular implies

$$\int_a^b M_\mu(x)^p dx < \infty$$

for all $p < 1$.

Since $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F(x + i\epsilon) \leq M_\mu(x)$ for all ϵ and $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F(\cdot + i\epsilon) \rightarrow (\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dx})(x)$ a.e. in x , the desired result follows by the dominated convergence theorem. \square

Remark. The reader will note that the first proof is similar to the proof in [7] that the measures with no a.c. part are a G_δ . In a sense, this part of our discussion in §4 is a transform for the proof of [7] to this proof instead!

Corollary 3.2. *A measure μ has no absolutely continuous part on (a, b) if and only if*

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \operatorname{Im} F(x + ik^{-1})^{1/2} dx = 0.$$

4. G_δ PROPERTIES OF SETS OF MEASURES AND OPERATORS

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a topological space and $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a sequence of non-negative continuous functions. Then $\{x \mid \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = 0\}$ is a G_δ .*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{x \mid \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = 0\right\} &= \left\{x \mid \forall k \forall N \exists n \geq N F_n(x) < \frac{1}{k}\right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcap_{N=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=N}^\infty \left\{x \mid F_n(x) < \frac{1}{k}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a G_δ . \square

As a corollary of this and Corollaries 2.3 and 3.2, we obtain a proof of the result of [9].

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be the set of probability measures on $[a, b]$ in the topology of weak convergence (this is a complete metric space). Then $\{\mu \mid \mu \text{ is purely singular continuous}\}$ is a dense G_δ .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.2

$$\{\mu \mid \mu_{ac} = 0\} = \left\{ \mu \mid \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b (\operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x + ik^{-1})^{1/2} dx = 0 \right\},$$

and by Corollary 2.3

$$\{\mu \mid \mu_{pp} = 0\} = \left\{ \mu \mid \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \int_a^b \operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x + ik^{-1})^2 dx = 0 \right\},$$

so by Lemma 4.1, each is a G_δ . Here we use the fact that $\mu \mapsto F_\mu(x + i\epsilon)$ is weakly continuous for each x , $\epsilon > 0$ and dominated above for each $\epsilon > 0$, so the integrals are weakly continuous. By the convergence of the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, the point measures are dense in M , so $\{\mu \mid \mu_{ac} = 0\}$ is dense. On the other hand, the fact that $\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x + i\epsilon) dx$ converge in M to $d\mu$ shows that the a.c. measures are dense in M , so $\{\mu \mid \mu_{pp} = 0\}$ is dense. Thus, by the Baire category theorem, $\{\mu \mid \mu_{pp} = 0\} \cap \{\mu \mid \mu_{ac} = 0\}$ is a dense G_δ ! \square

Finally, we recover our results in [7]. We call a metric space X of selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} regular if and only if $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the metric topology implies that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in strong resolvent sense. (Strong resolvent convergence of selfadjoint operators means $(A_n - z)^{-1}\varphi \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} (A - z)^{-1}\varphi$ for all φ and all z with $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$. Notice this implies that for any a, b, p and $\epsilon > 0$ and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, $A \mapsto \int_a^b \operatorname{Im}(\varphi, (A - x - i\epsilon)^{-1}\varphi)^p dx \equiv F_{a,b,p,\epsilon,\varphi}(A)$ is a continuous function in the metric topology.

Theorem 4.3. *For any open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any regular metric space of operators, $\{A \mid A \text{ has no a.c. spectrum in } \mathcal{O}\}$ is a G_δ .*

Proof. Any \mathcal{O} is a countable union of intervals, so it suffices to consider the case $\mathcal{O} = (a, b)$. Let φ_n be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\{A \mid A \text{ has no a.c. spectrum in } (a, b)\} = \bigcap_n \left\{ A \mid \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{a,b,1/2,1/k,\varphi_n}(A) \right\}$$

is a G_δ by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 3.2. \square

Similarly, using Corollary 2.3, we obtain

Theorem 4.4. *For any interval $[a, b]$ and any regular metric space of operators, $\{A \mid A \text{ has no point spectrum in } [a, b]\}$ is a G_δ .*

Note. This is slightly weaker than the result in [7] but suffices for most applications. One can recover the full result of [7], namely Theorem 4.4 with $[a, b]$ replaced by an arbitrary closed set K , by first noting that any closed set is a union of compacts, so it suffices to consider compact K . For each K , let

$K_\epsilon = \{x \mid \text{dist}(x, K) < \epsilon\}$. Then one can show that if $d\mu$ has no pure points in K , then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon \int_{K_\epsilon} (\text{Im } F_\epsilon(x + i\epsilon))^2 dx = 0;$$

and if it does have pure points in K , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \int_{K_\epsilon} |\text{Im } F(x + ik^{-1})|^2 dx > 0$$

and Theorem 4.4 extends.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is a pleasure to thank S. Jitomirskaya, A. Klein, and T. Wolff for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

1. R. del Rio, S. Jitomirskaya, Y. Last, and B. Simon, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum, IV. Hausdorff dimension, rank one perturbations, and localizations* (in preparation).
2. T. Kato, *Wave operators and similarity for some non-self-adjoint operators*, *Math. Ann.* **162** (1966), 258–279.
3. Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, Dover, New York, 1976.
4. A. Klein, *Extended states in the Anderson model on Bethe lattice*, preprint.
5. P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 40, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1980.
6. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, IV. Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
7. B. Simon, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum, I. General operators*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141** (1995), 131–145.
8. ———, *Spectral analysis of rank one perturbations and applications*, *Proc. Mathematical Quantum Theory II: Schrödinger Operators* (J. Feldman, R. Froese, and L. M. Rosen, eds.), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (to appear).
9. T. Zamfirescu, *Most monotone functions are singular*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **88** (1981), 47–49.

DIVISION OF PHYSICS, MATHEMATICS, AND ASTRONOMY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MATHEMATICS 253-37, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91125
 E-mail address: bsimon@cis.compuserve.com