

*Offprint from "Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis",  
Volume 52, Number 1, 1973, P. 44-48  
© by Springer-Verlag 1973  
Printed in Germany*

---

*Essential Self-Adjointness of Schrödinger Operators  
with Singular Potentials*

BARRY SIMON

*Communicated by S. AGMON*

for every positive test function  $\phi \geq 0$ . We shall use the following inequality of KATO ([2], Lemma A):

**Kato's Inequality.** *Suppose  $u$  and  $\Delta u$  are in  $(L^1(R^m))_{\text{loc}}$ . Then*

$$\Delta |u| \geq (\text{sgn } u) \Delta u.$$

**Remarks.** 1. We suppose that  $u$  is real-valued although there is a similar inequality if  $u$  is complex-valued. The symbol  $\text{sgn } u$  is used to denote  $+1, -1, 0$  according to whether  $u > 0, u < 0$  or  $u = 0$ .

2. The inequality  $\geq$  holds in the sense of elements of the distribution space dual to  $C_0^\infty$ .

3. This inequality is local; thus if  $u$  and  $\Delta u$  are in  $L^1(R^m/\{0\})_{\text{loc}}$ , the inequality holds in the sense of the distribution space dual to  $C_{00}^\infty$ .

4. The proof of the inequality is rather simple (Kato's Lemma A is more general, so its proof is notationally more complex). If  $u$  is smooth and  $u_\varepsilon = \sqrt{u^2 + \varepsilon}$ , the formulae  $u_\varepsilon \text{grad } u_\varepsilon = u \text{grad } u$ ,  $u_\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon + |\text{grad } u_\varepsilon|^2 = u \Delta u + |\text{grad } u|^2$  and  $u_\varepsilon \geq |u|$  imply  $u_\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon \geq u \Delta u$ . Dividing by  $u$  and letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0 yields the inequality. For general distributions  $u$  (obeying the same conditions), mollified  $u$ 's obey the inequality  $u_\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon \geq u \Delta u$ . Taking limits, we find that  $u$  obeys Kato's inequality.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Besides Kato's inequality, the proof relies on the fact that if  $u$  is a distribution with  $u \in L^2$  and  $(-\Delta + q_2 + E)|u| \leq 0$  for a suitable real  $E$ , then  $u = 0$ . Here  $-\Delta + q_2 + E$  is viewed as an operator from  $L^2$  to  $(C_0^\infty)'$ . This is formally connected with the fact that  $(-\Delta + q_2 + E)^{-1}$ , the inverse of the operator from  $D(\Delta)$  to  $L^2$ , is positivity preserving. Rather than using the fact that  $H = -\Delta + q_2$  has a positivity preserving resolvent, we use the related result that when

$$\Sigma = \inf \text{spec}(-\Delta + q_2) < 0,$$

then  $\Sigma$  is a non-degenerate eigenvalue with strictly positive eigenvector.

**Lemma.** *Let  $q_2 \in L^p(R^m)$  ( $p=2$  if  $m < 4$ ,  $p > 2$  if  $m=4$  and  $p = \frac{1}{2}m$  if  $p > 4$ ) and suppose that  $\Sigma = \inf \text{spec}(-\Delta + q_2) < 0$ . Let  $E < \Sigma$ . Suppose that  $u \in L^2$  and that  $(-\Delta + q_2 + E)|u| \leq 0$  in the sense of distributions ( $-\Delta|u|$  being a distributional derivative). Then  $u = 0$ .*

**Proof.** A simple argument (see [4] for reference) shows that  $q_2$  is a relatively compact perturbation of  $-\Delta$ , so  $-\Delta + q_2$  has  $[0, \infty)$  as its essential spectrum. Since  $\Sigma < 0$ , it is an eigenvalue. By a result in [5], it follows that  $\Sigma$  is nondegenerate and  $(-\Delta + q_2)\Psi = \Sigma\Psi$  for some  $\Psi \in D(-\Delta + q_2)$  which is strictly positive a.e. Since  $D(-\Delta + q_2) = D(-\Delta)$ , we can find  $\Psi_n \in C_0^\infty$  such that  $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$  in  $L^2$ ,  $-\Delta\Psi_n \rightarrow -\Delta\Psi$  in  $L^2$ , and  $\Psi_n \geq 0$ . Since  $q_2$  is  $-\Delta$ -bounded,  $(-\Delta + q_2 + E)\Psi_n \rightarrow (\Sigma + E)\Psi$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Sigma + E)|u|, \Psi \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle |u|, (-\Delta + q_2 + E)\Psi_n \rangle \\ &= \lim \langle (-\Delta + q_2 + E)|u|, \Psi_n \rangle \\ &\leq 0 \quad (\text{distributional sense}). \end{aligned}$$

## 1. Introduction

In this note we wish to study Schrödinger operators  $-\Delta + q$  on  $L^2(R^m)$ , where  $q$  is the operator of multiplication by a real-valued measurable function,  $q(x)$ , on  $R^m$ . We show that  $-\Delta + q$  is essentially self-adjoint on either  $C_0^\infty(R^m)$ , the  $C^\infty$  functions of compact support, or on  $C_0^\infty(R^m/\{0\})$ , the  $C^\infty$  functions of compact support in  $R^m/\{0\}$ . Upon occasion we shall denote these sets by  $C_0^\infty$  and  $C_{00}^\infty$ , respectively.

The self-adjointness of such operators is an extensively studied problem, but until recently all results have at least supposed that  $q$  is in a local Stummel space (slightly weaker than  $q \in (L^p)_{\text{loc}}$  with  $p > m/2$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ). This is considerably stronger than the condition  $q \in (L^2)_{\text{loc}}$  (resp.  $q \in (L^2(R/\{0\}))_{\text{loc}}$ ) needed for  $-\Delta + q$  to be well-defined on  $C_0^\infty$  (resp.  $C_{00}^\infty$ ). In general,  $-\Delta + q$  will not be self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty$  if  $q \in L^2$  and  $m \geq 4$  (see [4] for an explicit example). However, we recently showed that if  $q \geq 0$ , then  $q \in L^2$  is sufficient for essential self-adjointness on  $C_0^\infty$  [4]. Extensions of this result have been obtained by KATO [2], some of whose methods we shall use below. The two theorems we prove below are:

**Theorem 1 (KATO).** *Let  $q = q_1 + q_2$  with  $q_1 \in (L^2)_{\text{loc}}$ ,  $q_2 \in L^p$  (where  $p=2$  if  $m < 4$ ,  $p > 2$  if  $m=4$  and  $p = m/2$  if  $m > 4$ ). Suppose that  $q_1$  is bounded from below. Then  $-\Delta + q$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $q = q_1 + q_2$ , with  $q_1 \in (L^2(R^m/\{0\}))_{\text{loc}}$  and  $q_2 \in L^\infty$  and suppose that*

$$q_1(\vec{r}) \geq -[(m-1)(m-3)-3]/4r^2.$$

*Then  $-\Delta + q$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_{00}^\infty$ .*

Theorem 1 was conjectured in [4] and proved by KATO in [2]; we present a partly alternative proof in § 2. Theorem 2 generalizes results of KALF & WALTER [1] and SCHMINCKE [3].

Our method of proof is very different from the methods used in [4] but is closely related to KATO's method in [2]. The basic tool is the use of distributional inequalities. If  $T$  and  $S$  are distributions, we write  $T \geq S$  if and only if  $T(\phi) \geq S(\phi)$

Since  $\Sigma + E \geq 0$ ,  $\langle |u|, \Psi \rangle \leq 0$ . But  $\Psi$  is strictly positive a.e.; hence  $|u| = 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We assume without loss of generality that  $q_1 \geq 0$ . Moreover we can suppose that  $\Sigma = \inf \text{spec}(-\Delta + q_2) < 0$ . For choose any  $\Phi$  in  $C_0^\infty$  with support in unit ball  $B$  and let  $\tilde{q}_2 = q_2 - c\chi_B$ , where  $c = 1 + \|\Phi\|^{-2} (\langle \Phi, (-\Delta + q_2)\Phi \rangle)$  and  $\tilde{q}_1 = q_1 + c\chi_B$ . Then  $\tilde{q}_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{q}_1 \in (L^2)_{\text{loc}}$ ,  $\tilde{q}_2 \in L^p$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \inf \text{spec}(-\Delta + \tilde{q}_2) \leq -1$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \inf(-\Delta + q_2) < 0$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $(-\Delta + q - \Sigma + 1)[C_0^\infty]$  is dense in  $L^2$ . If it is not dense, then there exists an element  $u \in L^2$  orthogonal to  $(-\Delta + q - \Sigma + 1)[C_0^\infty]$  which can be assumed to be real-valued, since  $q$  is real-valued and  $C_0^\infty$  is self-conjugate. But then  $(-\Delta + q - \Sigma + 1)u = 0$  (distributional sense). Since  $u \in L^2$  we have  $u \in (L^1)_{\text{loc}}$ , and  $\Delta u = (q - \Sigma + 1)u \in (L^1)_{\text{loc}}$  since  $q \in (L^2)_{\text{loc}}$ . Thus Kato's inequality is applicable, and we find

$$-\Delta |u| \leq -(\text{sgn } u) \Delta u = (-q + \Sigma - 1)|u|$$

or

$$-\Delta + q_2 + (-\Sigma + 1)|u| \leq -q_1 |u| \leq 0$$

since  $q_1 \geq 0$ . By the lemma,  $u = 0$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2 (Generalized Kalf-Walter-Schincke Theorem)

Let  $B$  be the ball of radius 1 and let  $q_3 = -\frac{1}{4}[m(m-4)]r^{-2} - c\chi_B$ , where  $c$  is a positive constant which we shall adjust below. Let  $q_4 = q_1 - q_3$ . We shall prove that  $-\Delta + q_1$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty$ , from which Theorem 2 will follow since  $q_2$  is bounded.

The key to the proof is to construct an auxiliary function  $\Psi_0$  which is spherically symmetric,  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $C^\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\} \cup \{\bar{r} | r=1\}$ , and moreover such that: (1)  $\Psi_0$  is strictly positive, (2)  $\Psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , (3)  $\Psi_0 \cong r^{(4-m)/2}$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , (4) at any point  $\bar{r}$  with  $r \neq 0, 1$ ,  $\Psi_0$  obeys  $(-\Delta + q_3)\Psi_0 = -\Psi_0$  near and  $\bar{r}$ , where  $-\Delta$  is the classical derivative.

Notice that we do not claim that  $(-\Delta + q_3)\Psi_0 = -\Psi_0$  in terms of an operator equation when  $-\Delta + q_3$  is interpreted as an operator in some sense. (Once the theorem is proved, it follows that  $\Psi_0$  is an eigenfunction of  $-\Delta + q_3 \upharpoonright C_0^\infty$ , but a priori we neither know nor need that fact.)

To construct  $\Psi_0(\bar{r})$ , we choose a function  $f(r)$  which is  $C^1$  on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $C^\infty$  on  $(0, 1)$  and on  $(1, \infty)$  and obeys (1')  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r$ ; (2')  $f(r)$  decays exponentially at  $\infty$ ; (3')  $f(r) \sim r^{3/2}$  at  $r=0$ ; and (4')

$$-f'' + \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}f = (C-1)f \quad \text{on } (0, 1)$$

and

$$-f'' + \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}f = -f \quad \text{on } (1, \infty).$$

We then let  $\Psi_0 = r^{(1-m)/2}f$ . The differential equations  $-f'' + \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}f = (C-1)f$  are exactly solvable for any  $C$  in terms of Bessel functions. When  $C=0$  (i.e.,  $r \in (1, \infty)$ ), there is a solution which is strictly positive and which decays exponentially at  $\infty$ . For any real  $C$ , there is a solution asymptotic to  $r^{3/2}$  near  $r=0$ . By

<sup>1</sup>  $\chi_B$  denotes the characteristic function of the ball.

adjusting the positive constant  $C$  in the definition of  $q_3$ , we can arrange that the two solutions and their derivatives match at  $r=1$  and also that the resulting function  $f$  is strictly positive.

Let  $\eta$  be a  $C^\infty$  function which is 1 outside the ball of radius  $1/2$ , and 0 inside the ball of radius  $1/4$ . Let  $\Psi_n(r) = \eta(rn)\Psi_0(r)$ . Then  $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi_0$  in  $L^2$  and

$$(-\Delta + q_3 + 1)\Psi_n = -n[\bar{\nabla}\eta(rn)] \cdot \bar{\nabla}\Psi_0 - n^2[(\Delta\eta)(rn)]\Psi_0$$

is bounded in  $L^2$  and has support shrinking to 0. We conclude that  $(-\Delta + q_3 + 2)\Psi_n$  converges to  $\Psi_0$  weakly in  $L^2$ . By modifying  $\Psi_n$  near  $\infty$  and on the unit sphere, we can find  $\phi_n \in C_0^\infty$  such that (i)  $\phi_n \geq 0$ , (ii)  $\phi_n \rightarrow \Psi_0$ , and (iii)  $(-\Delta + q_3 + 2)\phi_n \rightarrow \Psi_0$  weakly.

To prove that  $-\Delta + q_1$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty$ , we need only show that  $(-\Delta + q_1 + 2)[C_0^\infty]$  is dense. If  $u$  is orthogonal to  $(-\Delta + q_1 + 2)[C_0^\infty]$ , then  $(-\Delta + q_1 + 2)u = 0$  in the sense of  $(C_0^\infty)'$ . Thus  $u$  and  $\Delta u = (q_1 + 2)u$  are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\})_{\text{loc}}$  and Kato's inequality is applicable. We conclude that  $-\Delta |u| \leq (-q_1 - 2)|u|$  (in the sense of  $(C_0^\infty)'$ ). Since  $q_4 \geq 0$  by hypothesis, we have

$$(-\Delta + q_3 + 2)|u| \leq -q_4 |u| \leq 0.$$

As a result

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_0, |u| \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (-\Delta + q_3 + 2)\phi_n, |u| \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \phi_n, (-\Delta + q_3 + 2)|u| \rangle \leq 0 \quad (C_0^\infty\text{-sense}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Psi_0$  is strictly positive, it follows that  $u=0$ . We conclude that  $-\Delta + q_1$  is essentially self-adjoint.

**Remarks.** 1. In particular,  $-d^2/dx^2 + ar^{-2}$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $a \geq 3/4$ . It is interesting to compare our proof with the usual proof employing WEYL's limit-point limit-circle method. The two solutions of  $-f'' + 3/4r^{-2}f = df$  for  $d$  constant behave at  $r=0$  like  $r^{-1/2}$  and  $r^{3/2}$ . In WEYL's method, the key fact is that  $r^{-1/2}$  is not in  $L^2$ . In our method, certain properties of  $r^{3/2}$  are critical, namely the estimates

$$\int_0^R (r^{3/2})^2 dr = O(R^4) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^R (d/dr(r^{3/2}))^2 dr = O(R^2).$$

2. T. KATO (private communication) has found an alternate proof of Theorem 2 which also allows  $q_1$  to vary slightly below the  $r^{-2}$  bound at 0 and the 0 bound at  $\infty$ .

3. The constant  $[(m-1)(m-3)-3]/4 = c_m$  is best possible in the sense that if  $\alpha > c_m$ , then  $-\Delta - \alpha r^{-2}$  is not essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty$ .

*Acknowledgements.* It is a pleasure to thank T. KATO for valuable correspondence and for making his paper [2] available before publication. During the period when this paper written the author held a Sloan Foundation Fellowship. I should also like to thank Professor M. O'CARROLL for the hospitality of the Dept. of Mathematics, Pontificia Universidade Catolica, Rio de Janeiro where this work was completed.

**References**

1. KALF, H., & J. WALTER, Strongly singular potentials and essential self-adjointness of singular elliptic operators in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . *J. Funct. Analysis* **10**, 114–130 (1972).
2. KATO, T., Schrödinger operators with singular potentials. *Israel J. Math.* **13**, 135 (1972).
3. SCHMINCKE, U. W., Essential self-adjointness of a Schrödinger operator with strongly singular potential. *Math. Z.* **124**, 47–50 (1972).
4. SIMON, B., Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with positive potentials. *Math. Ann.* **201**, 211–220 (1973).
5. SIMON, B., & R. HOEGH-KROHN, Hypercontractive semigroups and two dimensional self coupled bose fields. *J. Funct. Analysis* **9**, 121–180 (1972).

Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton, New Jersey

*(Received October 17, 1972)*