

## POINTWISE BOUNDS ON EIGENFUNCTIONS AND WAVE PACKETS IN $N$ -BODY QUANTUM SYSTEMS. II

BARRY SIMON<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We provide a simple proof (and mild improvement) of Schnol's result that  $L^2$  eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta + V$  are  $O(\exp(-ar))$  for any  $a > 0$  whenever  $V \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Despite a rather large literature (reviewed in [6]) on the exponential falloff of Schrödinger operators,  $-\Delta + V$ , one of the strongest results is one of the first, that of Schnol [9], who asserts that  $L^2$  solutions of  $(-\Delta + V)\psi = E\psi$  obey pointwise bounds of the form

$$(1) \quad |\psi(r)| \leq C_a \exp(-ar)$$

if  $V$  is continuous and bounded below and  $E$  is in the discrete spectrum of  $-\Delta + V$ . The constant  $a$  in Schnol's result can be taken arbitrarily obeying  $a < f(d(E))$ , where  $f$  is a universal function depending on  $E$  and  $V$  only through the lower bound on  $V$  and  $d(E)$  is the distance of  $E$  from the essential spectrum of  $-\Delta + V$ .

For the general multiparticle quantum system, Schnol's results have two obvious weaknesses:  $V$  is not bounded below in atomic and other systems of interest, and secondly Schnol's function  $f(E)$  behaves as  $\ln E$  as  $E \rightarrow \infty$  instead of as  $\sqrt{E}$  which is suggested by spherically symmetric examples and the theory of ordinary differential equations [8]. Much of the literature on the subject deals with these weaknesses. Due to the recent work of O'Connor [6], Combes-Thomas [2] and Simon [10], we now have nearly maximally good results for the case  $V(r) = \sum V_{ij}(r_i - r_j)$  with  $V_{ij}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

There is another case which is better handled by Schnol's result, namely where  $V \rightarrow \infty$  at  $\infty$  with  $V$  bounded below (generalized harmonic oscillator) and our goal in this note is to use the methods of the recent papers just quoted to obtain Schnol's results for this case.

---

Received by the editors December 3, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35B40, 81A81; Secondary 35D10, 26A16, 42A12.

<sup>1</sup> A Sloan fellow.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

First, we can exploit the Combes-Thomas idea:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $V = V_1 + V_2$  where  $V_2 \geq 0$ ,  $V_2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and where  $V_1$  is a form bounded perturbation of  $-\Delta$  with form bound,  $a$ , less than 1 (e.g.  $V_1 \in L^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  will do if  $n \geq 3$ ; see e.g. [7]). Let  $H = -\Delta + V$  defined as a sum of quadratic forms [4], [11]. Suppose that  $-(1-a)\Delta + V_2$  has compact resolvent (e.g. if  $\inf_{|r| > R} V_2(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ). Then any  $L^2$  eigenfunction of  $-\Delta + V$  lies in the domain of  $\exp(cr)$  for any  $c > 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H(\vec{b})$  be defined as  $(i\vec{\nabla} - \vec{b})^2 + V$  for any  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . It is easy to see that  $H(\vec{b})$  is an entire analytic family of type (B) in the sense of Kato [4] with invariant form domain  $D(-\Delta^{1/2}) \cap Q(V_2)$ . Moreover, since  $H(0)$  has compact resolvent by hypothesis and  $H(\vec{b})$  is unitarily equivalent to  $H(0)$  if  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $H(\vec{b})$  has compact resolvent for all  $\vec{b}$ . By mimicking the Combes-Thomas arguments, one easily sees that eigenvectors of  $H$  are entire vectors [5] for the group  $\exp(i\vec{b} \cdot \vec{r})$  which implies our result.  $\square$

To obtain pointwise bounds on eigenfunctions we are *not* able to mimic Simon [10], who combines  $L^2$  exponential bounds with  $L^\infty$  bounds of Kato [3] for vectors in  $C^\infty(H)$ , because Kato's methods only work for potentials going to zero at infinity [3], [10]. Instead we combine the  $L^2$  bounds with  $L^\infty$  bounds of Davies [1] for analytic vectors of  $H$ . Use of Davies' ideas restricts us to  $V$ 's which are bounded below, thereby recovering Schnol's result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $V \geq 0$ ,  $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\inf_{|r| > R} V(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  lie in  $Q(-\Delta) \cap Q(V)$  and obey  $-\Delta\psi + V\psi = E\psi$ . Then for any  $a > 0$ , there is a  $C$  with  $|\psi(r)| \leq C \exp(-a|r|)$ .*

**Proof.** It is obviously sufficient to show that for each  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\phi \equiv \exp(b \cdot r)\psi \in L^\infty$ . But  $\phi$  obeys  $H(ib)\phi = E\phi$  with  $\phi \in L^2$  by Theorem 1. Now, write  $H(ib) = H_0(ib) + V$  and consider the semigroup  $\exp(-tH_0(ib))$ . It is easy to write down an explicit kernel for it and see that:

- (a)  $\exp(-tH_0(ib))$  is positivity preserving;
- (b)  $\exp(-tH_0(ib)): L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $t > 0$ .

Write  $V_n = \min(V, n)$ . Then  $H_0(ib) + V_n$  converges to  $H(ib)$  in strong resolvent sense as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  so that

$$\exp(-tH(ib))\phi = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \text{s-lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\exp(-tH_0(ib)/m)\exp(-tV_n/m))^m \right) \phi$$

and thus since  $e^{-tV_n/m} \leq 1$  ( $V \geq 0$ !) and (a)

$$|\exp(-tH(ib))\phi| \leq \exp(-tH_0(ib))|\phi|$$

pointwise. Thus, by (b),  $\exp(-tH(ib))\phi = \exp(-tE)\phi$  is in  $L^\infty$  so that  $\phi$  is in  $L^\infty$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Depending on how fast  $V$  goes to infinity we expect  $\psi$  to obey  $\exp(-x^\alpha)$  bounds for  $\alpha > 1$ . We hope to return to this question in a future publication.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. B. Davies, *Properties of the Green's functions of operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
2. J. M. Combes and L. Thomas, *Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions for multiparticle Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. 34 (1974), 251–270.
3. T. Kato, *On the eigenfunctions of many-particle systems in quantum mechanics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1957), 151–177. MR 19, 501.
4. ———, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR 34 #3324.
5. E. Nelson, *Analytic vectors*, Ann. of Math. (2) 70 (1959), 572–615. MR 21 #5901.
6. A. O'Connor, *Exponential decay of bound state wave functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 32 (1973), 319.
7. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. Vol. II*, Academic Press, New York (to appear).
8. T. Regge and V. de Alfaro, *Potential scattering*, North-Holland, Amsterdam; Interscience, New York, 1965. MR 32 #8724.
9. J. E. Šnol', *On the behavior of the eigenfunctions of Schrödinger's equation*, Mat. Sb. 46 (88) (1957), 273–286; erratum, 259. (Russian) MR 23 #A2618.
10. B. Simon, *Pointwise bounds on eigenfunctions and wave packets in N-body quantum systems. I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), 395–401.
11. ———, *Quantum mechanics for Hamiltonians defined on quadratic forms*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY,  
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540