

# SOME SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH DENSE POINT SPECTRUM

BARRY SIMON\*

Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy  
California Institute of Technology, 253-37  
Pasadena, CA 91125

May 16, 1995

ABSTRACT. Given any sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive energies and any monotone function  $g(r)$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with  $g(0) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) = \infty$ , we can find a potential  $V(x)$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  so that  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are eigenvalues of  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$  and  $|V(x)| \leq (|x| + 1)^{-1}g(|x|)$ .

In [7], Naboko proved the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of rationally independent positive reals. Let  $g(r)$  be a monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $g(0) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) = \infty$ . Then there exists a potential  $V(x)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  so that*

- (1)  $\{\kappa_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are eigenvalues of  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $u(0) = 0$  boundary conditions.
- (2)  $|V(x)| \leq \frac{g(x)}{(|x|+1)}$ .

Our goal here is to construct  $V$ 's that allow the proof of the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of arbitrary distinct positive reals. Let  $g(r)$  be a monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $g(0) = 1$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) = \infty$ . Let  $\{\theta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of angles in  $[0, \pi)$ . Then there exists a potential  $V(x)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  so that*

- (1) For each  $n$ ,  $(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x))u = \kappa_n^2 u$  has a solution which is  $L^2$  at infinity and

$$\frac{u'(0)}{u(0)} = \cot(\theta_n). \tag{1}$$

- (2)  $|V(x)| \leq \frac{g(x)}{|x|+1}$ .

---

\* This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-9401491. The Government has certain rights in this material.

To be submitted to *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*

*Remarks.* 1. These results are especially interesting because Kiselev [6] has shown that if  $|V(x)| \leq C(|x| + 1)^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > \frac{3}{4}$ , then  $(0, \infty)$  is the essential support of  $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x))$ , so these examples include ones with dense point spectrum, dense inside absolutely continuous spectrum.

2. For whole line problems, we can take each  $\theta_n = 0$  or  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and let  $V_\infty(x) = V(|x|)$  and specify even and odd eigenvalues.

3. For our construction, we'll have  $|u_n(x)| \leq C_n(1 + |x|)^{-1}$ . By the same method, we could also specify  $\{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  so  $|u_n(x)| \leq C_n(1 + |x|)^{-m_n}$ .

4. By the same method, if  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\kappa_n| < \infty$ , we can actually take  $|V(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-1}$ , providing an answer to an open question of Eastham-Kalf [4], page 95. If one takes our construction really seriously, one might conjecture that if  $V(x) = 0(|x|^{-1})$ , then zero is the only possible limit point of the eigenvalues  $E_n$  and, indeed, even that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sqrt{E_n} < \infty.$$

5. One can probably extend Naboko's method to allow  $\theta$ 's so from a technical point of view, our result goes beyond his in that we show the rational independence condition is an artifact of his proof. The real point is to provide a different construction where the interesting examples of the phenomena can be found.

Our construction is based on examples of the Wigner-von Neumann type [9]. They found a potential  $V(x) = \frac{8 \sin(2r)}{r} + 0(r^{-2})$  at infinity and so that  $-u'' + Vu = u$  has a solution of the form  $\frac{\sin(r)}{r^2} + 0(r^{-3})$  at infinity. In fact, our potentials will be of the form

$$V(x) = W(x) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty 4\kappa_n \chi_n(x) \frac{\sin(2\kappa_n x + \varphi_n)}{x} \quad (2)$$

where  $\chi_n(x)$  is the characteristic function of the region  $x > R_n$  for suitable large  $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $R_n$  goes to infinity, the sum in (2) is finite for each  $x$  and there is no convergence issue. In (2),  $W$  will be a carefully constructed function on  $[0, 1]$  arranged to make sure that the phases  $\theta_n$  at  $x = 0$  come out right. We'll construct  $V$  as a limit of approximations

$$V_m(x) = W_m(x) + \sum_{n=0}^m 4\kappa_n \chi_n(x) \frac{\sin(2\kappa_n x + \varphi_n)}{x} \quad (3)$$

where  $W_m$  is supported on  $[2^{-m}, 1]$  and equals  $W$  there. We'll make this construction so that:

- (a) For  $n \leq m$ ,  $(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_m(x))u(x) = \kappa_n^2 u(x)$  has a solution  $u_n^{(m)}(x)$  obeying  $u \in L^2$  and condition (1).
- (b)

$$\left| u_n^{(m)}(x) - \frac{\sin(\kappa_n x + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n)}{1+x} \right| \leq C_n(1+x)^{-2} \quad (4)$$

for  $C_n$  uniformly bounded (in  $m$  but not in  $n!$ ). Note in (4), the fact that  $1/1+x$  appears (multiplying the sin) rather than, say,  $1/(1+x)^2$  comes from the choice of 4 in  $4\kappa_n$  in (3) (in general, if  $4\kappa_n$  is replaced by  $\gamma x_n$ , the decay is  $r^{-\gamma/4}$ ).

Central to our construction is a standard oscillation result that can be easily proven using the method of Harris-Lutz [5] or the Dollard-Friedman method [2,3] (see [8], problem 98 in Chapter XI); results of this genre go back to Atkinson [1]. It will be convenient to introduce the norm

$$\|f\| = \|(1+x^2)f\|_\infty + \left\| (1+x^2) \frac{df}{dx} \right\|_\infty$$

for functions on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Fix  $x > 0$ . Let  $V_0$  be a continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  so that

$$V_0(x) = 4\kappa \sin(2\kappa x + \varphi_0)/|x|$$

for  $x > R_0$  for some  $R_0$ . Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two other continuous functions which obey

- (i)  $|V_i(x)| \leq C_1|x|^{-1}$
- (ii)  $V_i(x) = \frac{dW_i}{dx}$  where  $|W_i(x)| \leq C_2|x|^{-1}$
- (iii)  $e^{\pm 2i\kappa x} V_i(x) = \frac{dW_i^{(\pm)}}{dx}$  where  $|W_i^{(\pm)}(x)| \leq C_3|x|^{-1}$ .

Let

$$V^{(R)} = \begin{cases} V_0(x) + V_1(x) & |x| < R \\ V_0(x) + V_1(x) + V_2(x) & |x| > R \end{cases}$$

with  $V^{(\infty)}(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} V^{(R)}(x)$ . Then there exists a unique function  $u^{(R)}(x)$  for  $R \in [0, \infty]$  (including  $\infty$ ) with  $(u \equiv u^{(R)})$

- (a)  $-u'' + V^{(R)}u = \kappa^2 u$
- (b)  $|u(x) - \frac{\sin(\kappa x + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_0)}{1+|x|}| \leq C_4(1+x)^{-2}$  and  $|u'(x) - \frac{\kappa \cos(\kappa x + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_0)}{1+|x|}| \leq C_5(1+x)^{-2}$ .

In addition,

$$\|u^{(R)} - u^{(\infty)}\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $C_4, C_5$ , and the rate convergence in (5) only depend on  $R_0, C_1, C_2$ , and  $C_3$ .

Since this is a straightforward application of the methods of [5,3], we omit the details.

The second input we'll need is the ability to undo small changes of Prüfer angles with small changes of potential. We'll need the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.** Fix  $k_1, \dots, k_n > 0$  distinct and  $\theta_1^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_n^{(0)}$ . Let

$$f_j(x) = \sin^2(k_j x + \theta_j^{(0)}).$$

Fix  $a < b$ . Then  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  are linearly independent on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Relabel so  $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n$ . Suppose there is a dependency relation of the form  $g(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i(x) \equiv 0$  on  $[a, b]$ . Without loss, we can suppose that  $\alpha_n \neq 0$

(for otherwise, decrease  $n$ ). Writing  $\sin^2(y) = (e^{2iy} + e^{-2iy} - 2)/4$ , we see that high order derivatives of  $g(x)$  are dominated by the  $f_n$  term, so  $\alpha_n$  must be zero after all.

It will be convenient to use modified Prüfer angles,  $\varphi(x)$ , defined by

$$u'(x) = kR(x) \cos(\varphi); \quad u(x) = R(x) \sin(\varphi) \quad (6)$$

where  $u$  obeys  $-u'' + V(x)u = k^2u(x)$ . Then  $\varphi$  obeys

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dx} = k - k^{-1}V(x) \sin^2(\varphi(x)). \quad (7)$$

Explicitly, given  $V(x)$  on  $[0, b]$  and  $\theta^{(0)}$ , let  $\varphi(x; \theta, V)$  solve the differential equation (7) on  $[a, b]$  with initial condition  $\varphi(0; \theta, V) = \theta^{(0)}$ . Obviously,

$$\varphi(x; \theta, V \equiv 0) = kx + \theta. \quad (8)$$

**Theorem 5.** Fix  $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ ,  $k_1, \dots, k_n > 0$  and distinct, and angles  $\theta_1^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_n^{(0)}$ . Define  $F : C[a, b] \rightarrow T^n$  (with  $T^n$  the  $n$ -torus) to be the generalized Prüfer angles  $\varphi_i(b)$  solving (7) (with  $k = k_i$  and  $V(x) = 0$  on  $[0, a)$  and the argument of  $F$  on  $[a, b]$ ) with  $\varphi_i(0) = \theta_i^{(0)}$ . Then for any  $\epsilon$ , there is a  $\delta$  so that for any  $\theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_n^{(1)}$  with

$$|\theta_i^{(1)} - k_i b - \theta_i^{(0)}| < \delta,$$

there is a  $V \in C[a, b]$  with  $\|V\|_\infty < \epsilon$  and

$$F(V) = (\theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_n^{(1)}).$$

*Proof.*  $F(V = 0)$  is  $(\theta_1^{(0)} + k_1 b, \dots, \theta_n^{(0)} + k_n b)$  by (8), so this theorem merely asserts that  $F$  takes a neighborhood of  $V = 0$  onto a neighborhood of  $F(V = 0)$ . By the implicit function theorem, it suffices that the differential is surjective. But

$$\left. \frac{\delta F_i}{\delta V(x)} \right|_{V=0} = -\frac{1}{k_i} \sin^2(k_i x + \theta_i^{(0)})$$

by (7) and (8). By the lemma, this derivative is surjective.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. The overall strategy will be to use an inductive construction. We'll write

$$W(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\delta W_m)(x) \quad (9)$$

with  $\delta W_m$  supported on  $[2^{-m}, 2^{-(m-1)}]$  so that the  $W_m$  of equation (3) is  $W_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \delta W_k$ . Then assuming we have  $V_{m-1}$ , we'll choose  $R_m, \varphi_m, \delta W_m$  in successive order, so

(1)  $R_m$  is so large that

$$|8\kappa_m \chi_m(x)| \leq 2^{-m} g(x) \quad (10)$$

on all  $(0, \infty)$ , that is,  $g(R_m) \geq 2^m(8\kappa_m)$ .

- (2)  $R_m$  is chosen so large that steps (3), (4) work.  
(3) Let  $u^{(0)}(x)$  solve  $-u'' + V_{m-1}u = \kappa_m^2 u$  with  $u'(0)/u(0) = \cot(\theta_m)$ . We show that (so long as  $R_m$  is chosen large enough) we can pick  $\varphi_m$  so this  $u$  matches to the decaying solution guaranteed by Theorem 3.  
(4) By choosing  $R_m$  large, we can be sure that  $\|u_n^{(m-1)} - \tilde{u}_n^{(m)}\| \leq 2^{-m-1}$  where  $\tilde{u}_n^{(m)}$  obeys the equation for  $V_m - \delta W_m$  and that the modified Prüfer angles for  $\tilde{u}_n^{(m)}$  at  $b_m = 2^{-m+1}$  are within a range that can apply Theorem 5 with

$$[a, b] = [2^{-m}, 2^{-m+1}]$$

and  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . By applying Theorem 5, we'll get  $\delta W_{m+1}$  to assure  $u_n^{(m)}$  obeys the boundary conditions at zero.

Here are the formal details:

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let

$$(\delta V_n)(x) = 4\kappa_n \chi_n(x) \frac{\sin(2\kappa_n x + \varphi_n)}{x} \quad (11)$$

where  $\chi_n$  is the characteristic function of  $[R_n, \infty)$  and  $\varphi_n, R_n$  are parameters we'll pick below.  $R_n$  will be picked to have many properties, among them

$$R_n \rightarrow \infty, R_n \geq 1, \quad g(R_n) \geq 2^n(8\kappa_n). \quad (12)$$

$\delta W_n$  will be a function supported on  $[2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1})$  chosen later but obeying

$$\|\delta W_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (13)$$

We'll let

$$V_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m (\delta V_n + \delta W_n)(x)$$

and

$$V(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m(x)$$

where the limit exists since  $V_m(x)$  is eventually constant for any  $x$ .

By (12), (13), we have

$$|V_m(x)| \leq g(x)/(|x| + 1) \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty. \quad (14)$$

For each  $m$  and each  $n = 1, \dots, m$ , we have by Theorem 3 a unique function  $u_n^{(m)}(x)$  obeying

$$-u'' + V_m u = \kappa_n^2 u \quad (15)$$

$$\|u - \sin((\kappa_n + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n) \cdot)(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1}\| < \infty. \quad (16)$$

We will choose  $\delta V_n, \delta W_m$  so that

$$\|u_n^{(m)} - u_n^{(m-1)}\| \leq 2^{-m} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, m-1 \quad (17)$$

$$u_n^{(m)} \text{ obeys eqn. (1)} \quad n = 1, \dots, m. \quad (18)$$

Let  $u_n = \|\cdot\| \text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_n^{(m)}$ . Writing the differential equation as an integral equation, we see that  $u_n$  obeys  $-u'' + V(u) = \kappa_n^2 u$ . By (18),  $u_n$  obeys equation (1) and by  $\|\cdot\|$  convergence,  $u_n$  obey (16) and so lies in  $L^2$ . Thus as claimed,  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V$  has  $\{\kappa_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$  as eigenvalues.

Thus we are reduced to showing that  $\delta V_m, \delta W_m$  can be chosen so that (17), (18) hold.

Let  $\theta_i^{(0)}$  be defined by  $\kappa_i \cot(\theta_i^{(0)}) = \cot(\theta_i)$  so  $\theta_i^{(0)}$  are the generalized Prüfer angles associated to the originally specified Prüfer angles. Look at the solutions  $u_i^{(n-1)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ . These match to the generalized Prüfer angles  $\kappa_i 2^{-m+1} + \theta_i^{(0)}$  at  $x = 2^{-m+1}$ .

We'll choose  $\delta V_m$  so that the new solutions  $\tilde{u}_i^{(m)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ ) with  $\delta V_m$  added obey  $\|\tilde{u}_i^{(m)} - u_i^{(m-1)}\| < 2^{-m-1}$ . We can find  $\epsilon_m$  so that if  $\|\delta W_m\| < \epsilon_m$ , then the new solutions  $u_i^{(m)}$  obey  $\|u_i^{(m)} - \tilde{u}_i^{(m)}\| < 2^{-m-1}$ . So using Theorem 5, pick  $\delta$  so small that the resulting  $V$  given is that theorem with  $a = 2^{-m}$ ,  $b = 2^{-m+1}$  has  $\|\cdot\|$  bounded by  $\min(\frac{1}{2}, \epsilon_n)$ . In that theorem, use  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m$  and  $\theta_i^{(0)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

According to Theorem 3, we can take  $R_m$  so large that uniformly in  $\varphi_m$  (in  $[0, 2\pi/2\kappa_m]$ ), we have  $\|u_i^{(m-1)} - \tilde{u}_i^{(m)}\| < 2^{-m-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$  and so large that again uniformly in  $\varphi_m$ , the generalized Prüfer angles  $\theta_i^{(0)}$  for  $\tilde{u}_i^{(m)}$  at  $b_m \equiv 2^{-m+1}$  obeys  $|\theta_i^{(1)} - \theta_i^{(0)} - \kappa_i b_i| < \delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

Thus, if we can pick the angle  $\varphi_m$  in (11) so that  $\tilde{u}_m^{(m)}$  obeys the boundary condition at zero (and so  $\theta_m^{(1)} - \theta_m^{(0)} - \kappa_m b_m = 0$ ), then the construction is done.

By condition (b) of Theorem 3, for  $|x|$  large, as  $\varphi_m$  runs from 0 to  $2\pi/2\kappa_m$ ,  $(|x|u(x), |x|u'(x))$  runs through a complete half-circle. Thus, by taking  $R_m$  at least that large and choosing  $\varphi_m$  appropriately, we can match the angle of the solution of  $u'' + V_{m-1}u = \kappa_m^2 u$  which obeys the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Atkinson, *The asymptotic solutions of second order differential equations*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. **37** (1954), 347–378.
- [2] J. Dollard and C. Friedman, *On strong product integration*, J. Funct. Anal. **28** (1978), 309–354.
- [3] ———, *Product integrals and the Schrödinger equation*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), 1598–1607.
- [4] M.S.P. Eastham and H. Kalf, *Schrödinger-type Operators with Continuous Spectra*, Research Notes in Mathematics 65, Pitman Books Ltd., London, 1982.
- [5] W.A. Harris and D.A. Lutz, *Asymptotic integration of adiabatic oscillator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **51** (1975), 76–93.
- [6] A. Kiselev, *Absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators and Jacobi matrices with slowly decreasing potentials*, preprint.
- [7] S.N. Naboko, *Dense point spectra of Schrödinger and Dirac operators*, Theor.-math. **68** (1986), 18–28.
- [8] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, III. Scattering Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [9] J. von Neumann and E.P. Wigner, *Über merkwürdige diskrete Eigenwerte*, Z. Phys. **30** (1929), 465–467.